

ACoSt BOOK REVIEW GUIDELINES

Marina Albertocchi is the current book review editor for the Association for Coroplastic Studies; Michael Anthony Fowler is the assistant book review editor. Books for review should be sent to Marina Albertocchi, via Pagano Doria 7, 16126 Genova, Italy, but under no circumstances directly to possible reviewers. It will be the responsibility of the ACoSt book review editor to contact an appropriate reviewer either from among the members of the ACoSt or from the wider community of coroplastic researchers.

Reviews should reach the assistant editor within four to six months after receiving the book under review and should be sent to Michael Anthony Fowler at maf2209@columbia.edu.

Reviews should offer a very clear idea about the general structure and content of the work. Excessively specialized remarks should be avoided, unless they support points of criticism in terms of the overall methodology and the results of the monograph under review.

The ACoSt Book Reviews will **not** publish reactions to reviews in order to avoid any form of unpleasant debate. Therefore, we urge scholars who will review works for the ACoSt Book Reviews to be cautious in the ways they express negative criticism. **Factual errors** in a review will be corrected by the review editors.

Format

- The review is to be written in English.
- Word limit: 2000 words.

The review should be a .doc file written in Unicode. Pdf files will not be accepted.

A full bibliographical record of the work under review is to appear at the beginning of the review.

Please make sure that the use of foreign words is in italics and remains limited to the appropriate Context; foreign words in common usage, such as amphora, kantharos, agora, etc. are not italicized. Ancient Greek terms and references to scholarly works in Modern Greek should be in Greek letters and in Unicode.

The review should have no more than 10 footnotes.

The ACoSt Book Reviews has adopted the *AJA* abbreviations
<http://www.ajaonline.org/submissions#6>.

Reviewers are to sign the review with their name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address.